

Used Fuel Disposition Campaign

Deep Borehole Field Test (DBFT) FY15 Site Evaluation Overview

David C. Sassani
Sandia National Laboratories
UFD Campaign Annual Working Group Meeting
Las Vegas, NV
June 10, 2015

■ **Deep Borehole Field Test (DBFT) Project Overview**

- Objectives
- Participants
- Scope
- Background

■ **Site Evaluation Update**

- Status
- Process

■ **DBFT Technical Site Guidelines**

- **Recently initiated DBFT will assess viability of the DBH disposal concept**
 - Drill and construct deep borehole(s)
 - *Characterization hole*
 - *Field Test hole*
 - Verify deep hydrogeochemical conditions via characterization and testing
 - Evaluate canister designs
 - Develop engineering methods for downhole canister emplacement/retrieval, and seals deployment
 - Identify concept variations for different waste types
- **The DBFT will be used to validate proof of concept, but will NOT involve the disposal of actual waste**

■ DOE

- NE-53 (NV): Tim Gunter, Lam Xuan
- DOE-ID Procurement: Gordon McClellan, Bradley Heath

■ SNL – DBFT Project Technical Lead

- Bob MacKinnon, Geoff Freeze, Dave Sassani, Kris Kuhlman, Ernie Hardin, (Bill Arnold), Pat Brady, Jack Tillman, Mark Rigali

■ LANL – Geoscience, GIS

- Frank Perry, Rich Kelley

■ LBNL – Geoscience, characterization

- Jim Houseworth, Pat Dobson, Jens Birkholzer

■ PNNL

- Brady Hanson

■ INL – GIS Support to LANL siting database

- Dan Jensen

■ ORNL – GIS surface siting characteristics (OR-SAGE)

- Randy Belles, Rob Howard

■ **5 Primary Activities**

- Site Evaluation (Sassani)
- Site Characterization (Kuhlman)
- Field Test Design (Hardin)
- Project Management and Regulatory (Freeze)
- Procurement (DOE-ID)

■ **3 SNL FY15 Level 2 Milestones**

- ***06/04/15: - Site Selection Evaluation for Deep Borehole Field Test***
 - ***plan/approach to evaluation of technical information***
- 09/15/15: Report – Deep Borehole Field Test Specifications
- 09/29/15: Report – Conceptual Design and Requirements for Characterization and Field Test Boreholes

- 2009-2014 numerous reports: SNL LDRD + UFD projects
 - Brady et al. (2009) [SAND2009-4401](#) *Deep Borehole Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Waste*
 - Arnold et al., (2011) SAND2011-6749 *Reference Design and Operations for Deep Borehole Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Waste*
 - Arnold et al., (2013) [SAND 2013-9490P](#) *Deep Borehole Disposal Research: Demonstration Site Selection Guidelines, Borehole Seals Design, and RD&D Needs*
- April 15, 2014: UFD Report on Evaluation of Disposal Options
 - ["Evaluation of Options for Permanent Geologic Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste"](#)
 - *Study conclusions include: 1) deep borehole disposal option is a good option for small waste forms and provides flexibility for disposal*
- Oct 22: DOE issued Disposal Options report:
 - ["Assessment of Disposal Options for DOE-Managed High-Level Radioactive Waste and Spent Nuclear Fuel"](#)
 - *Pursue alternative disposal methods for some YM-bound waste*
 - *Develop a research and development plan for DBD*

DBFT FY15 Site Evaluation Status

- Oct 24, 2014: DOE issued Deep Borehole RFI:
 - [“Request for Information \(RFI\) - Deep Borehole Field Test”](#)
 - *Solicitation Number: DE-SOL-0007705*
 - *Consistent with its Fiscal Year 2015 Budget Request, the Department of Energy is proposing to conduct a demonstration of the Deep Borehole (DBH) disposal concept*
 - Responses received Dec 8, 2014
- Jan 7, 2015: Site Evaluation/Selection Workshop:
 - Reviewed and updated Technical Site Guidelines
 - Decision to utilize Request for Proposal (RFP) process to procure site and site management/operations team
- Draft RFP released April 7, 2015 requesting feedback
 - [“Deep Borehole Field Test: Site and Characterization Borehole Investigations”](#)
 - *Solicitation Number: DE-SOL-0008071*
 - Feedback received May 5, 2015
 - Revisions to finalize RFP in process

■ Three Technical Criteria:

- Criterion 1. Availability and Geologic Conditions of Proposed DBFT
 - *Site Technical site guidelines*
- Criterion 2. Organization and Qualifications
 - *Site management team experience, expertise, knowledge, and capabilities*
- Criterion 3. Proposed Approach
 - *Methodology for successful accomplishment*

■ Three Additional Criteria

- Nontechnical criteria for DOE procurement

■ The site area should be sufficient to accommodate:

- two drilling operations with boreholes nominally separated by at least 200 m;
- surface facilities
 - to support the drilling operations;
 - for sample management and on-site data collection;
 - for evaluation of handling operations for surrogate (mock-up) waste containers; and
 - for site operation needs
- Sites with ample open area surrounding the drilling site would be preferred.
- The site area should be outside of wetlands areas and should be outside of 100-year flood zones, with ample access for heavy equipment needs.

■ Depth to crystalline basement –

- Less than 2 km (1.2 miles) depth to crystalline basement

- **Lack of conditions associated with fresh ground water flow at depth –**
 - Geologic information and bases should include conditions/features (and the technical bases for those identified) that provide evidence of the absence of recharge at depth. This could include (but is not limited to) for example
 - Lack of significant topographic relief that would drive deep recharge,
 - Evidence of ancient groundwater at depth, and/or
 - Data suggesting high-salinity groundwater at depth
- **Geothermal heat flux –**
 - Geologic information and bases should include evidence of the geothermal gradient and/or geothermal heat flux at the proposed site
 - A heat flux of less than 75 mW/m² is preferred

■ Low seismic/tectonic activity –

- Less than 2% probability within 50 years of peak ground acceleration greater than 0.16 g (generally indicative of area of tectonic stability)
- Distance to Quaternary age volcanism or faulting greater than 10 km
- Geologic information and bases should provide evidence of the aspects listed above, as well as any evidence that is available on
 - Existence, and orientation, of any foliation in the crystalline basement rocks
 - The horizontal stress state at depth in the crystalline basement rocks
 - Lack of steeply dipping foliation or layering is preferred
 - Low differential horizontal stress is preferred

■ Crystalline basement structural simplicity –

- Lack of known major regional structures, major crystalline basement shear zones, or major tectonic features
- Geologic information and bases should include identification of major regional structures, basement shear zones, or other tectonic features within 50 km of the proposed site

-
- **Low potential for interference with testing from other surface and subsurface usage –**
 - Information and bases provided for the proposed site should identify any *previous or current* uses of the surface and/or subsurface that could interfere with the test investigations. Such activities include but are not limited to
 - Wastewater disposal by deep well injection,
 - CO₂ injection,
 - Oil and gas production,
 - Mining,
 - Underground drinking water extraction, and
 - Strategic petroleum reserve sites
 - Absence of potential resources in the crystalline basement and sedimentary overburden is preferable
 - The information and bases provided for the proposed site should identify existing drinking water aquifers and any previous or current uses of the surface and/or subsurface (such as listed above) within 30 km of the proposed site as far back as available records indicate

- **Lack of *existing/previous* surface or subsurface anthropogenic radioactive or chemical contamination –**
 - Information and bases provided for the proposed site should identify any *previous or current* anthropogenic radioactive or chemical contamination within 10 km of the proposed site